

POLS 571 (13274)
Fall 2007
University of Illinois at Chicago

Dr. Brandon Valeriano
BSB 1115
T 3:30-6pm

Seminar in International Relations (Ph.D. Level - Core)

The goal of this course will be to acquire an in-depth knowledge of the literature in the field of international relations. In this course we will look at research in the area of general international relations theories, theoretical appraisal approaches, conflict studies, international political economy, and other traditional topics. This course should prepare students for an eventual career in diverse fields under the international relations/world politics banner.

Objectives of Course:

- To gain an understanding of the major social science findings in the field of international relations/world politics
- To learn how to think scientifically about social phenomena and theories
- To be able to read statistical/empirical articles
- To learn how to make inferences from evidence

Office Hours and Contact Info

Office 1147 BSB
Office Phone: 312-413-7274
Office Hours: 1:45-2:45 T/R
AIM: drbvaler
Email: bvaler@uic.edu
Web page: tigger.uic.edu/~bvaler
Course Blog: bvaler.blogspot.com

Course Requirements

Participation 40%
Term Paper 40%
Book Review 20%

Course Readings

- Hans Morgenthau and Kenneth Thompson Politics among Nations (Brief), McGraw Hill, ISBN 0070433062
- Robert Keohane Neorealism and Its Critics Columbia University Press ISBN 0231063490
- John Vasquez What Do We Know About War? Rowman and Littlefield, ISBN: 0847699277
- John J. Mearsheimer The Tragedy of Great Power Politics Norton ISBN 039332396X
- Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Riise, and Beth Simmons Handbook of International Relations Sage Publications ISBN 0761953057
- Bruce Russett and John Oneal Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations W.W. Norton ISBN 039397684x

The Paper(s)

Your main paper assignment will be a literature review or (*preferred*) advanced research paper (20+ pages) addressing any topic covered in this course. The research papers can either be completed with statistical or qualitative (case study) methods. It is your choice, but the goal is for you to produce a paper that is of the standard of professional conference presentation. You must take this step to progress towards a Ph.D. and sit in for preliminary exams with this professor.

A literature review covers the entire breath of scholarship on a certain issue. The goal is not to summarize existing findings, but to identify flaws and research gaps. What needs to be done or what has been done wrong? A review should consult at least 20 articles and books. **All topics need to be approved by the professor.**

You will also have to complete one book review (6+ pages). The book covered should not be listed on the syllabus and should have been published since 2002. The goal of this paper is to place the book in the literature, summarize its contributions to the field, and discuss its shortcomings. You should not spend a lot of time summarizing the book, but in placing the findings in a wider context. **The professor needs to approve all books. Book reviews are due on October 23th.**

Participation

Participation is simply showing up and contributing to class. Either asking questions during class or private conversations with the professor (if you are more comfortable with that) all count towards your participation grade. This is a Ph.D. level seminar and missing class is simply unacceptable. Every student should be able to contribute to a dialogue regarding the readings and contemporary events.

In addition to normal participation, each student will be required to write a **three/four sentence summary** of each article/chapter assigned for that day. This assignment is due prior to the start of class. You will be asked to redo the summary if the assignment is not completed to a satisfactory degree. If the assignments and discussion is

not of a satisfactory level the professor reserves the right to change the class requirements and assign tests and utilize further evaluation tools.

The Readings

Every required reading is important. To grasp the details and arguments contained within it is recommended that one read most articles and books twice. Graduate courses are difficult and require a devotion to the subject material. The recommended readings are listed for those interested in a particular subject or those who will take the international relations preliminary exam at some time. To be successful in research, in teaching, and on the job market, one should be familiar with all readings listed - eventually. Successful students in this class will have read some of the recommend sources purely for self-enrichment or out of curiosity.

Course Outline

Theories

1. Traditional Realism
2. Neorealism
3. Offensive Realism
4. Liberalism
5. Constructivism
6. Rational Choice
7. Feminism

Causes of War

1. Balance of Power
2. Who Fights Whom?
3. Territory
4. Alliances
5. Arms Races
6. Rivalry
7. Steps to War

Causes of Peace

1. Norms
2. Democracy
3. International Organizations
4. Interdependence and Trade (Globalization)

Other Approaches and Issues

1. Psychology
2. Etiology and Historiography
3. Foreign Policy
4. Nationalism and Ethnicity
5. Human Rights
6. Environment
7. International Law
8. Finance
9. Trade
10. Development
11. Regionalism

Course Readings and Assignment Dates

8/28

International Relations Autobiography

Why do you want to study international relations?

American Political Science Association Meeting

Attend one IR panel, write short report

9/4

Approaches to International Politics

Req. Readings:

- Bull and Singer (Handouts)
- Wright (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Easton, David (1965). A Framework for Political Analysis.
2. Knorr, Klaus and James Roseau (1964). *Contending Approaches to International Politics*

Theory Appraisal

Req. Readings:

- Vasquez, John (1998). The Power of Power Politics: From Classical Realism to Neotraditionalism, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (Chapter 9, Handout)
- Herrmann (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Vasquez, John (1997). "The Realist Paradigm and Degenerative versus Progressive Research Programs: An Appraisal of Neotraditional Research on Waltz's Balancing Proposition." American Political Science Review 91 (December): 899-912.
2. Lakatos, Imre (1970). Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes. Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge. Imre Lakatos and Alan Musgrave. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press: 91-196.
3. Most, Benjamin and Harvey Starr (1989). Inquiry, Logic and International Politics

9/11

Traditional Realism

Req. Readings:

- Hans Morgenthau and Kenneth Thompson, Politics among Nations (Brief) (All)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Morgenthau, Hans J. (1948). Politics Among Nations, New York: Knopf.
2. Morgenthau, Hans J. (1952). "Another Great Debate: The National Interest of the United States." American Political Science Review 46: 961-978.
3. Vasquez, John A. (1998). The power of power politics : from classical realism to neotraditionalism. Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
4. Waltz, Kenneth Neal (1959). Man, the state, and war; a theoretical analysis. New York,, Columbia University Press.
5. Carr, E.H. (1939). The Twenty Years' Crisis

9/18

Neorealism and its Critics

Req. Readings:

- Neorealism and Its Critics (Chapters 2-5, 11, skim rest of book – be aware of each critic's points)
- Baldwin (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Waltz, Kenneth (1979). Theory of International Politics, Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.
2. Waltz, Kenneth (2000). ""Structural Realism after the Cold War."" International Security 25(1): 5-41.
3. Gilpin, Robert (1981). War and Change in World Politics.
4. James, Patrick (2002). International relations and scientific progress : structural realism reconsidered. Columbus, Ohio State University Press.
5. Legro, Jeffrey W. and Andrew Moravcsik (1999). "Is Anybody Still a Realist?" International Security.
6. Oye, Kenneth A. 1985. "Explaining Cooperation under Anarchy: Hypotheses and Strategies." World Politics 48 (1): 1-24.
7. Diehl, Paul F. and Frank W. Wayman. 1994. "Realpolitik: Dead End, Detour, or Road Map?" In Frank W. Wayman and Paul F. Diehl. Eds. Reconstructing Realpolitik. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
8. Jervis, Robert. 1998. "Realism in the Study of World Politics." International Organization 52 (4): 971-991.

9/25

Offensive Realism

Req. Readings:

- Mearsheimer The Tragedy of Great Power Politics (All)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Valeriano, Brandon (2003). The Tragedy of Offensive Realism: Testing Aggressive Power Politics Models. Annual Meeting of the International Studies Association, Portland, Or.
2. Labs, E. (1997). "Beyond Victory: Offensive Realism and the Expansion of War Aims." Security Studies 4 (Summer): 1-49.
3. Layne, C. (1993). "The Unipolar Illusion: Why New Great Powers Will Rise." International Security 17(4): 5-51.
4. Mearsheimer, J. (1990). "Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War." International Security 15(1): 5-56.
5. Zakaria, F. (1998). From Wealth to Power: The Unusual Origins of America's World Role, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Liberalism

Req. Readings:

- Simmons and Martin (Handbook)
- W. Wilson, Kant, and others (Handouts)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Viner, Jacob (1948). "Power versus Plenty as Objectives of Foreign Policy in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries." World Politics 1(1): 1-29.
2. Baldwin, David (1985). Economic Statecraft, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
3. Baldwin, David (1993). Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics. Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. David Baldwin, Columbia: Columbia University Press.
4. Deutsch, Karl W., Sidney Burrell, et al. (1957). Political Community and the North Atlantic Area: International Organization in the Light of Historical Experience. Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press.
5. Moravcsik, Andrew (1997). ""Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics."" International Organization 51(4): 513-53.
6. Doyle, Michael (1997). Ways of War and Peace: Realism, Liberalism, and Socialism
7. Axelrod, Robert and Robert O. Keohane. 1985. "Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions." *World Politics* 48 (1): 226-254.
8. Doyle, Michael W. 1986. "Liberalism and World Politics." *American Political Science Review* 80 (4): 1151-1169.
9. Hansclever, Andreas, Peter Mayer, and Volker Rittberger. 1997. *Theories of International Regimes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
10. Keohane, Robert and Joseph S. Nye, Jr. 2001. 3rd ed. *Power and Interdependence*. New York: Longman.
11. Keohane, Robert O. 1984. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
12. Keohane, Robert O. 1989. *International Institutions and State Power*. Boulder: Westview Press.

13. Milner, Helen. 1991. "The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique." *Review of International Studies* 17 (1): 67-85.

10/2

Constructivism

Req. Readings:

- Fearon and Wendt (Handbook)
- Adler (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Wendt, Alexander (1999). Social theory of international politics. Cambridge, UK ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
2. Berger, Peter L. and Thomas Luckmann (1966). The Social Construction of Reality, New York: Doubleday.
3. Ruggie, John Gerard (1998). Constructing the World Polity: Essays on International Institutionalization.
4. Hopf, Ted. 2002. *Social Construction of International Politics: Identities & Foreign Policies*, Moscow, 1955 and 1999. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
5. Jackson, Patrick Thaddeus. Ed. 2004. "Bridging the Gap: Toward A Realist-Constructivist Dialogue." *International Studies Review* 6 (2): 337-352.
6. Onuf, Nicholas G. *World of Our Making: Rules and Rule in Social Theory and International Relations*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1989.
7. Wendt, Alexander E. "The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relations Theory." *International Organization*. 41 (1987): 335-370.

Rational Choice

Req. Readings:

- Snidal (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce (1981). The War Trap.
2. Fearon, James D. (1994). "Domestic political audiences and the escalation of international disputes." American Political Science Review 88(3): 577-593.
3. Schultz, Kenneth A. (2001). Democracy and coercive diplomacy. Cambridge U.K. ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
4. Friedman, J. 1996. *The Rational Choice Controversy: Economic Models of Politics Reconsidered*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
5. Green, D.P. and I. Shapiro. 1994. *Pathologies of Rational Choice Theory: A Critique of Applications in Political Science*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
6. Lalman, D., J. Oppenheimer and P. Swistak. 1993. "Formal Rational Choice Theory: A Cumulative Science of Politics." in Finifter, A. (ed.) *Political Science: The State of the Discipline II*. Washington, D.C.: APSA. pp. 77-104.
7. Martin, L. 1999. "The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism." *International Security*. 24: 74-83.
8. Ordeshook, P.C. 1992. *A Political Theory Primer*. New York: Routledge.

9. Riker, W.H. 1977. "The Future of a Science of Politics." *American Behavioral Scientist* 21(1): 11-38
10. Walt, S. 1999a. "Rigor or Rigor Mortis? Rational Choice and Security Studies." *International Security*. 23(2): 5-48.
11. Walt, S. 1999b. "A Model Disagreement." *International Security*. 24(2): 115-130.
12. Brown, Michael. E., Owen R. Coté, Sean M. Lynn-Jones, and Steven E. Miller. Eds. 2000. *Rational Choice and Security Studies: Stephen Walt and His Critics*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
13. Friedman, Milton. 1953. *Essays in Positive Economics*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
14. Morrow, James. 1994. *Game Theory for Political Scientists*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
15. Olson, Mancur. 1982. *The Rise and Decline of Nations: Economic Growth, Stagflation, and Social Rigidities*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
16. Osborne, Martin J. 2004. *An Introduction to Game Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
17. Schelling, Thomas C. 1960. *The Strategy of Conflict*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
18. Snidal, Duncan. 1985. "Game Theory of International Politics." *World Politics* 48 (1): 25-57.

Feminism

Req. Readings:

- Tickner (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Enloe, Cynthia (1989). Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics
2. Peterson, v. s. (1992). Gendered States
3. Tickner, J. Ann (1997) "You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists"
4. Tickner, J.A. (2001) Gendering World Politics
5. Goldstein, Joshua (2001) War and Gender
6. Sylvester, Christine (2002) Feminist International Relations

10/9

Balance of Power

Req. Readings:

- Bremer, S. (1992). "Dangerous Dyads: Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Interstate War, 1816-1965." Journal of Conflict Resolution 36:309-41. (Download)
- Morgenthau (Review)
- Geller (WDK)
- Vasquez and Elman (Handout)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Vasquez, John A. and Colin Elman (2002). Realism and the balancing of power: a new debate. Upper Saddle River, N.J., Prentice Hall.
2. Geller, Daniel S. (2000). Explaining War: Empirical Patterns and Theoretical Mechanisms. In Handbook of War Studies II, edited by Manus I. Midlarsky, pp.407-49. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
3. Kugler, Jacek and Douglas Lemke, Eds. (1996). Parity and War: Evaluations and Extensions of the War Ledger. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
4. Kugler, Jacek and A.F.K. Organski (1989). "The Power Transition: A Retrospective and Prospective Evaluation." Handbook of War Studies. Manus Midlarsky. Ann Arbor, Michigan, University of Michigan Press.
5. Lemke, Douglas (1997). "'The Continuation of History: Power Transition Theory and the End of the Cold War.'" Journal of Peace Research 34(1): 23-36.
6. Lemke, Douglas (2002). Regions of war and peace. Cambridge, UK ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
7. Lemke, Douglas and Suzanne Werner (1996). "'Power Parity, Commitment to Change, and War'." International Studies Quarterly 40(2): 235-260.
8. Organski, A.F.K. and Jacek Kugler (1980). The War Ledger. Chicago, University Chicago Press.
9. Singer, J. David, Stuart Bremer A., et al. (1972). "Capability Distribution, Uncertainty, and Major Power War, 1820-1965." in Peace, War, and Numbers, Bruce Russett, ed. Beverly Hills: Sage.
10. Geller, D. S. (1993). "Power Differentials and War in Rival Dyads." International Studies Quarterly 37: 173-94.
11. Geller, D.S. (1998). The Stability of the Military Balance of War Among Great Power Rivals. The Dynamics of Enduring Rivalries. P. F. Diehl, Urbana: University of Illinois Press: pp. 165-190.
12. Geller, Daniel (1998). The Stability of the Military Balance and War among Great Power Rivals. The Dynamics of Enduring Rivalries. P. Diehl, Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Who Fights Whom?

Req. Readings:

- Bremer (WDK)
- Levy (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Wright, Quincy (1965). A study of war. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
2. Choucri, Nazli and Robert S. North (1975). Nations in Conflict: Prelude to World War I. San Francisco, Ca.: Freeman.
3. Richardson, Lewis F. (1960). Statistics of Deadly Quarrels. Pittsburgh, Boxwood Press.
4. Sarkees, Meredith (2000). "Correlates of War Warsets: An Update to 1997." Conflict Management and Peace Science 18(1): 123-144.
5. Sarkees, Meredith, Frank Whelon Wayman, et al. (2003). "Inter-state, Intra-State, and Extra-State Wars: A Comprehensive Look at Their Distribution Over Time, 1816-1997." International Studies Quarterly 47(1): 49-70.
6. Singer, J. David (1979). The Correlates of War. New York, Free Press.
7. Singer, J. David and Melvin Small (1972). The wages of war, 1816-1965: a statistical handbook. New York,, Wiley.
8. Small, Melvin and J. David Singer (1982). Resort to arms : international and Civil Wars, 1816-1980. Beverly Hills, Calif., Sage Publications.
9. Valeriano, Brandon and John Vasquez (2005). Mapping the Spread of War: Classifying Complex Wars. Annual Meeting of the Peace Science Society (International), Iowa City, Iowa.
10. Vasquez, John and Brandon Valeriano (2004). A Classification of Interstate War. Annual Meeting of the International Studies Association, Montreal, Canada.
11. Gochman, Charles and Zeev Maoz (1984). "Militarized Interstate Disputes, 1816-1976: Procedures, Patterns, and Insights." Journal of Conflict Resolution 28(December): 585-616.
12. Jones, Daniel, Stuart Bremer, et al. (1996). "Militarized Interstate Disputes, 1816-1992: Rationale, Coding Rules, and Empirical Patterns." Conflict Management and Peace Science 15(2): 163-213.
13. Brecher, M. and J. Wilkenfeld (1997) A Study of Crisis. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press

10/16

Territory

Req. Readings:

- Vasquez and Valeriano (2007) "Territory as a Source of Conflict and a Road to Peace" (Handout)
- Hensel and Huth (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Biersteker (Handbook)
2. Vasquez, John (1995). "Why Do Neighbors Fight? Proximity, Interaction, or Territoriality?" Journal of Peace Research 32(3): 277-293.
3. Vasquez, John and Marie T. Henehan (2001). "Territorial Disputes and the Probability of War, 1816-1992." Journal of Peace Research 38(2): 123-138.
4. Ben-Yehuda, Hemda (2004). "Territoriality and War in International Crises: Theory and Findings, 1918-2001." International Studies Review 6: 85-105.
5. Diehl, Paul F. (1992). "What Are They Fighting For? The Importance of Issues in International Conflict Research." Journal of Peace Research 29(3): 333-344.
6. Diehl, Paul F. (1999). A road map to war : territorial dimensions of international conflict. Nashville, Vanderbilt University Press.
7. Goertz, Gary and Paul F. Diehl (1992). Territorial Changes and International Conflict.
8. Hensel, Paul R. (1996). "Charting a Course to Conflict: Territorial Issues and Interstate Conflict, 1816-1992." Conflict Management and Peace Science 15 (1):43-73.
9. Hensel, Paul R. (2000). Territory: Theory and Evidence on Geography and Conflict. What Do We Know about War? John Vasquez. Lanham, Md, Rowman & Littlefield.
10. Huth, Paul (1996). Standing Your Ground: Territorial Disputes and International Conflict. Ann Arbor, MI, University of Michigan Press.
11. Huth, Paul K. and Todd L. Allee (2002). The Democratic Peace and Territorial Conflict in the Twentieth Century. Cambridge, UK ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
12. James, Patrick, Johann Park, et al. (2006). "Democracy and Conflict Management: Territorial Claims in the Western Hemisphere Revisited." International Studies Quarterly.
13. Kacowicz, Arie Marcelo (1994). Peaceful territorial change. Columbia, S.C., University of South Carolina Press.
14. Kacowicz, Arie Marcelo (1998). Zones of peace in the Third World : South America and West Africa in comparative perspective. Albany, NY, State University of New York Press.
15. Mitchell, Sara McLaughin and Brandon Prins (1999). "Beyond Territorial Contiguity: Issues at Stake in Democratic Militarized Interstate Disputes." International Studies Quarterly 43: 169-183.
16. Senese, Paul D. (1996). "Geographical Proximity and Issue Salience: Their Effects on the Escalation of Militarized Interstate Conflict." Conflict Management and Peace Science 15 (2):133-161.

17. Senese, Paul D. (2005). "Territory, Contiguity, and International Conflict: Assessing a New Joint Explanation." American Journal of Political Science 49(4): 769-779.
18. Senese, Paul D. and John Vasquez (2003). "A unified explanation of territorial conflict: Testing the impact of sampling bias." International Studies Quarterly 47(3): 275-298.

10/23

(Book Reviews Due)

Alliances

Req. Readings:

- Maoz and Gibler (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Gibler, Douglas M. (1997). Reconceptualizing the Alliance Variable: An Empirical Typology of Alliances. Ph.D. Dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
2. Gibler, Douglas M. and Meredith Sarkees (2002). Coding Manual for v3.0 of the Correlates of War Formal Interstate Alliance Data set, 1816-2000. Typescript.
3. Leeds, Brett Ashley, Andrew G. Long, et al. (2000). "Reevaluating Alliance Reliability: Specific Threats, Specific Promises." Journal of Conflict Resolution 44 (4): 686-699.
4. Levy, Jack S. (1981). "Alliance Formation and War Behavior: An Analysis of the Great Powers, 1495-1975." Journal of Conflict Resolution 25 (December): 581-613.
5. Levy, Jack S. (1983). War in the modern great power system, 1495-1975. Lexington, Ky, University Press of Kentucky.
6. Schroeder, Paul (1976). Alliances, 1815-1945: Weapons of Power and Tools of Management. Historical Dimensions of National Security Problems. K. Knorr. Lawrence, University Press of Kansas: 227-262.
7. Schroeder, Paul (1994). The Transformation of European Politics, 1763-1848. New York: Oxford University Press.
8. Gibler, Douglas (1996). "Alliances That Never Balance: The Territorial Settlement Treaty." Conflict Management and Peace Science 15(1): 75-97.
9. Gibler, Douglas (1997). "Control the Issues, Control the Conflict: The Effects of Alliances That Settle Territorial Issues on Interstate Rivalries." International Interactions 22(4): 341-368.
10. Gibler, Douglas and John Vasquez (1998). "Uncovering the Dangerous Alliances, 1495-1980." International Studies Quarterly 42: 785-807.
11. Walt, Stephen (1987). The Origins of Alliances, Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.

Arms Races

Req. Readings:

- Sample (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Gibler, Douglas M., Toby Rider, et al. (2005). "Taking Arms Against a Sea of Troubles: Interdependent Racing and the Likelihood of Conflict in Rival States." Journal of Peace Research 42(2): 131-147.
2. Diehl, Paul (1983). "Arms Races and Escalation: A Closer Look." Journal of Peace Research 20(3): 205-212.
3. Diehl, Paul (1985). "Arms races to war: Testing some empirical linkages." Sociological Quarterly 26: 331-49.
4. Diehl, Paul and Mark Crescenzi (1998). "Reconfiguring the Arms Race-War Debate." Journal of Peace Research 35(1): 111-118.
5. Horn, Michael Dean (1987). *Arms races and the international system*, University of Rochester.
6. Huntington, Samuel P. (1958). "Arms Races: Prerequisites and Results." Public Policy 8(1): 41-86.
7. Richardson, Lewis F. (1960). Arms and Insecurity, Pacific Grove, CA: The Boxwood Press.
8. Sample, Susan (1996). *Arms races and the escalation of disputes to war. Ph.D. dissertation*, Vanderbilt University.
9. Sample, Susan (1997). "Arms Races and Dispute Escalation: Resolving the Debate." Journal of Peace Research 34(1): 7-22.
10. Sample, Susan (1998). "Military Buildups, War, and Realpolitik: A Multivariate Model." Journal of Conflict Resolution 42(2) 156-175.
11. Sample, Susan (2002). "The Outcomes of Military Buildups: Minor States vs. Major Powers." Journal of Peace Research 39(6): 669-692.
12. Sample, Susan G. (1998). "Furthering the Investigation into the Effects of Arms Buildups." Journal of Peace Research 35(1): 119-123.
13. Wallace, Michael (1979). "Arms Races and Escalation: Some New Evidence." Journal of Conflict Resolution 23(1): 3-16.
14. Wallace, Michael (1980). "Some Persisting Findings: A Reply to Professor Weede." Journal of Conflict Resolution 24(2): 289-292.

6
13
20
27
Dec 4

10/30

Rivalry

Req. Readings:

- Valeriano, Brandon (2003). Steps to Rivalry: Power Politics and Rivalry Formation. Ph.D. Dissertation, Vanderbilt University. (Online)
- Wayman, Leng, and Goertz and Diehl (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Bennett, D. Scott (1998). "Integrating and Testing Models of Rivalry Duration." American Journal of Political Science 42(4): 1200-1232.
2. Colaresi, Michael (2002). The Effect of Dynamic Two-level Pressures on Rivalry Escalation and De-escalation. Ph.D. Dissertation. Bloomington, IN, Indiana University.
3. Colaresi, Michael and William R. Thompson (2002). "Strategic Rivals, Protracted Conflict, and Crisis Behavior." Journal of Peace Research 39(3): 263-287.
4. Colaresi, Michael and William R. Thompson (2003). "Hot Spots or Hot Hands?: Serial Crisis Behavior, Escalating Risks, and Rivalry." Journal of Politics forthcoming.
5. Diehl, Paul (1985). "Contiguity and Military Escalation in Major Power Rivalries, 1816-1980." Journal of Politics 47: 1203-1211.
6. Diehl, Paul, Ed. (1998). The Dynamics of Enduring Rivalries, Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
7. Diehl, Paul and Gary Goertz (2000). War and Peace in International Rivalry, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
8. Gartzke, Erik and Michael Simon (1999). "Hot Hand: A Critical Analysis of Enduring Rivalries." Journal of Politics 61(3): 777-98.
9. Goertz, G. and P.F. Diehl (2000). (Enduring) Rivalries. Handbook of War Studies II. M. I. Midlarsky, Ann Arbor, Mi.: University of Michigan Press: pp. 222-267.
10. Goertz, G. and Paul Diehl (1992). "The Empirical Importance of Enduring Rivalries." International Interactions 18(2): 151-163.
11. Goertz, G. and Paul Diehl (1993). "Enduring Rivalries: Theoretical Constraints and Empirical Patterns." International Studies Quarterly 37: 147-71.
12. Goertz, G. and Paul Diehl (1995). "The Initiation and Termination of Enduring Rivalries: The Impact of Political Shocks." American Journal of Political Science 39: 30-52.
13. Hensel, Paul (1996). The Evolution of Interstate Rivalry. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
14. Hensel, Paul, Gary Goertz, et al. (2000). "The Democratic Peace and Rivalries." Journal of Politics 62(4): 1173-1188.

15. Leng, Russell (1983). "When Will They Ever Learn? Coercive Bargaining in Recurrent Crises." Journal of Conflict Resolution 27(3): 379-419.
16. Leng, Russell (1988). "Crisis Learning Games." American Political Science Review 82(1): 179-194.
17. Leng, Russell (2000). Bargaining and Learning in Recurring Crises: The Soviet-American, Egyptian-Israeli, and Indo-Pakistani Rivalries, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
18. Leskiw, Christopher and Brandon Valeriano (2003). Building Bridges: Intergovernmental Organizations and the Development of Interstate Rivalry. Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, Philadelphia.
19. Maoz, Zeev and Ben Mor (2002). Bound by Struggle: The Strategic Evolution of Enduring International Rivalries. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.
20. Stinnett, Douglas and Paul Diehl (2001). "The Path(s) to Rivalry: Behavioral and Structural Explanations of Rivalry Development." Journal of Politics 63(3): 717-740.
21. Thies, Cameron (2001). "A Social Psychological Approach to Enduring Rivalries." Political Psychology 22: 693-725.
22. Thompson, William (1995). "Principal Rivalries." Journal of Conflict Resolution 39(2): 195-223.
23. Thompson, William, Ed. (1998). Great Power Rivalries. University of South Carolina Press.
24. Thompson, William (2001). "Identifying Rivals and Rivalries in World Politics." International Studies Quarterly 45: 557-586.
25. Tir, Jaroslav and Paul Diehl (2002). "Geographic Dimensions of Enduring Rivalries." Political Geography 21: 263-286.
26. Vasquez, John (1996). "Distinguishing Rivals That Go to War from Those That Do Not: A Quantitative Comparative Case Study of the Two Paths to War." International Studies Quarterly 40: 531-58.
27. Vasquez, John and Christopher S. Leskiw (2001). "The Origins and War-proneness of International Rivalries." Annual Review of Political Science 4: 295-316.

11/6

Steps to War

Req. Readings:

- Vasquez, John (2004). "The Probability of War, 1816-1992." International Studies Quarterly 48(1): 1-27. (Download)
- Vasquez, John (2000). Reexamining the Steps to War: New Evidence and Theoretical Insights. Handbook of War Studies II. Manus Midlarsky. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press. (Handout)
- Vasquez (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Vasquez, John A. (1993). The war puzzle. Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press.
2. Senese, Paul D. and John Vasquez (2005). "Assessing the Steps to War." British Journal of Political Science 35: 607-633.
3. Senese, Paul D. and John Vasquez (2007). The Steps to War: An Empirical Analysis. Princeton, Princeton University Press.

Norms

Req. Readings:

- Hurrell (Handbook)
- Raymond (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Kegley, Charles W., Jr. and Gregory Raymond (1982). "'Alliance Norms and War: A New Piece in an Old Puzzle,'" International Studies Quarterly 26 (December): 572-595.
2. Kegley, Charles W. Jr. and Gregory Raymond (1990). When Trust Breaks Down.
3. Kegley, Charles W. and Gregory A. Raymond (1999). How nations make peace. New York, NY, St. Martin's : Worth Publishers.
4. Finnemore, Martha (1996). "Norms, Culture, and World Politics: Insights from Sociology's Institutionalism." International Organization 50(2):325-47.

11/13

Kantian Tripod (Democracy, Trade, and International Organizations) and Peace

Req. Readings:

- Russett, Bruce M. and John R. Oneal (2001). Triangulating peace : democracy, interdependence, and international organizations. New York, Norton. (All)
- Ray (WDK)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Weart, Spencer (1998). Never at War: Why Democracies Will Not Fight Each Other.
2. Barbieri, Katherine (1996). "Economic Interdependence: A Path to Peace or a Source of Interstate Conflict?" Journal of Peace Research 33(1): 29-49.
3. Barbieri, Katherine and Jack Levy (1999). "Sleeping with the Enemy: The Impact of War on Trade." Journal of Peace Research 36(4): 463-479.
4. Berhaum, Michael L., John Oneal, et al. (2004). "Causes of Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885-1992." International Studies Quarterly 47: 371-393.
5. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce (2003). The logic of political survival. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.
6. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce and David Lalman (1990). "Domestic Opposition and Foreign War." American Political Science Review 84(3): 747-765.
7. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce and David Lalman (1992). War and Reason: Domestic and International Imperatives.
8. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, James D. Morrow, et al. (1999). "An Institutional Explanation of Democratic Peace." American Political Science Review 93:791-807.
9. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce and Randolph Siverson (1995). "War and the Survival of Political Leaders: A Comparative Study of Regime Types and Political Accountability." American Political Science Review 89(4).
10. Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, et al. (2002). The Logic of Political Survival: Institutional Incentives for Governance.
11. Maoz, Zeev and Bruce Russett (1993). "Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986." American Political Science Review 87:624-38.
12. Ray, James Lee (1995). Democracy and international conflict : an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition. Columbia, S.C., University of South Carolina Press.
13. Ray, James Lee (1998). "Does Democracy Cause Peace?" Annual Review of Political Science 1:27-46.
14. Reiter, Dan and Allan C. Stam (2002). Democracies at war. Princeton, N.J., Princeton University Press.
15. Mansfield, Edward and Jack Snyder. 1995. "Democratization and the Danger of War." International Security 20 (1): 5-38.
16. Mousseau, Michael. 1998. "Democracy and Compromise in Militarized Interstate Conflicts, 1816-1992." Journal of Conflict Resolution 42 (2): 210-230.
17. Rosato, Sebastian. 2003. "The Flawed Logic of Democratic Peace Theory." American Political Science Review 97 (4): 585-602.
18. Ward, Michael D. and Kristian S. Gleditsch. 1998. "Democratizing for Peace." American Political Science Review 92 (1): 51-61.

11/20

Psychology

Req. Readings:

- Stein (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Jervis, Robert (1976). Perception and Misperception in International Politics, Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
2. Levy, Jack (1994). "Learning and Foreign Policy: Sweeping the Conceptual Minefield." International Organization 48(2): 279-312.
3. Hermann, Margaret (1977) A Psychological Examination of Political Leaders
4. Lasswell, Harold (1960). Psychopathology and Politics
5. McDermott, Rose (1998). Risk-Taking in International Politics: Prospect Theory in American Foreign Policy
6. De Rivera, J. (1968) The Psychological Dimension of Foreign Policy. Columbus: C.E. Merrill
7. McDermott, Rose (2004) Political Psychology in International Relations. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Etiology and Historiography

Req. Readings:

- Schmidt (Handbook)
- Singer (WDK)

Foreign Policy (Public Opinion and Decision Making)

Req. Readings:

- Carlsnaes (Handbook)
- Hudson, Valerie M. (2005). "Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations." Foreign Policy Analysis 1: 1-30. (Download)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Allison, Graham T. (1971). Essence of decision; explaining the Cuban missile crisis. Boston, Little Brown.
2. Allison, Graham T. and Philip Zelikow (1999). Essence of decision : explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis. New York, Longman.
3. Holsti, Ole (1992). ""Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates."" International Studies Quarterly. 36: 439-466.
4. Rosenau, J. (1966) "Pre-theories and Theories of Foreign Policy." In Approaches in Comparative and International Politics, edited by R.B. Farrell, pp. 115-169. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
5. Snyder, R., H.W. Bruck, and B. Sapin, eds. (1962) Foreign Policy Decision-Making: An Approach to the Study of International Politics. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

11/27

Nationalism and Ethnicity (Plus Civil Wars)

Req. Readings:

- Cederman (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Vayrynen, Raimo, Ed. (1993). Territory, Nation-State, and Nationalism. The Future of the Nation-State in Europe. Aldershot, Edward Elgar.
2. Carment, David and Dane Rowlands. 1998. "Three's Company: Evaluating Third Party Intervention in Intrastate Conflict." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 42 (5): 572-599.
3. Carment, David and Frank Harvey. 2001. *Using Force to Prevent Ethnic Violence: An Evaluation of Theory and Evidence*. Westport, CT: Praeger Publishers. Chapter 7 Evaluating Third-Party Efforts to End Intrastate Ethnic Conflict. 123-146.
4. Carment, David and Patrick James. 1997. "Secession and Irredenta in World Politics." In David Carment and Patrick James. Eds. *Wars in the Midst of Peace: The International Politics of Ethnic Conflict*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
5. Carment, David and Patrick James. 2000. "Explaining Third Party Intervention in Ethnic Conflict." *Nations and Nationalism* 6 (2): 173-202.
6. Diehl, Paul F., Jennifer Reifschneider and Paul R. Hensel. 1996. "United Nations Intervention and Recurring Conflicts." *International Organization* 50 (4): 683-700.
7. Dixon, William J. 1996. "Third-Party Techniques for Preventing Conflict Escalation and Promoting Peaceful Settlement." *International Organization* 50 (4): 653-681.
8. Doyle, Michael W. and Nicholas Sambanis. 2000. "International Peacebuilding: A Theoretical and Quantitative Analysis." *American Political Science Review* 94 (4): 779-801.
9. Fox, Jonathan. 2001. "Religious Causes of International Intervention in Ethnic Conflicts." *International Politics* 38 (4): 515-531.
10. Regan, Patrick M. 1996. "Conditions for Successful Third-Party Interventions in Intrastate Conflicts." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 40 (2): 336-59.
11. Regan, Patrick M. 2002. 2nd Ed. *Civil Wars and Foreign Powers: Outside Intervention in Intrastate Conflict*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press
12. Fearon and Latin, APSR

Human Rights

Req. Readings:

- Schmitz and Sikkink (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Falk, Richard (1981) Human Rights and State Sovereignty
2. Donnelly, Jack (1992) International Human Rights
3. Barnett, Michael (2003) Eyewitness to a Genocide. Cornell University Press.
4. Valentino, Benjamin

The Environment

Req. Readings:

- Mitchell (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Easterbrook, Greg (1995). A Moment on the Earth: The Coming Age of Environmental Optimism
2. Michaels, Patrick and Robert Balling (2000). The Satanic Gases: Clearing the Air about Global Warming
3. Lomborg, Bjorn (2001). The Skeptical Environmentalist: Measuring the Real States of the World
4. Victor, David (2001). The Collapse of the Kyoto Protocol and the Struggle to Slow Global Warming

International Law

Req. Readings:

- Raustiala and Slaughter (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Wright, Quincy (1965) The Study of War
2. Clark and Sohn (1966) World Peace Through World Law
3. Ku, Charlotte and Paul Diehl (1998) International Law: Classic and Contemporary Readings

12/4

Finance

Req. Readings:

- Cohen (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Krasner, Stephen (1982) International Regimes
2. Cohen

Trade

Req. Readings:

- Milner (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

3. Robert Gilpin. Global Political Economy: Understanding the International Economic Order. Princeton University Press. ISBN: 069108677X
4. Frank Lechner and John Boli. The Globalization Reader, 2nd Edition. Blackwell Publishing. ISBN: 1405102802
5. Gerald Schneider, Katherine Barbieri, and Nils Petter Gleditsch (eds.). Globalization and Armed Conflict. Rowman and Littlefield. ISBN: 0742518329
6. Conybeare, John (1987) *Trade Wars*

Development

Req. Readings:

- Maxfield (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Robert Gilpin. Global Political Economy: Understanding the International Economic Order. Princeton University Press. ISBN: 069108677X
2. Robert J. Barro. Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study. MIT Press. ISBN: 0262522543

Regionalism

Req. Readings:

- Choi and Caporaso (Handbook)

Rec'd Readings:

1. Robert Gilpin. Global Political Economy: Understanding the International Economic Order. Princeton University Press. ISBN: 069108677X

Topics Not Covered

Terrorism

2. Arce, Daniel G. and Sandler, Todd. 2005. "Counterterrorism: A Game-Theoretic Analysis." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 49 (2): 183-200.
3. Enders, Walter and Todd Sandler. 2000. "Is Transnational Terrorism Becoming More Threatening? A Time-Series Investigation." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 44 (3): 307-332.
4. Fearon, James and Laitin David. 2004. "Neotrusteeship and the Problem of Weak States." *International Security* 28 (4): 5-43.
5. Gibbs, Jack P. 1989. "Conceptualization of Terrorism." *American Sociological Review* 54 (3): 329-340.
6. Jervis, Robert. 2003. "Understanding the Bush doctrine." *Political Science Quarterly* 118 (3): 365-388.
7. Li, Quan and Drew Schaub. 2004. "Economic Globalization and Transnational Terrorism." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48 (2): 230-258.
8. Nitsch, Volker and Dieter Schumacher. 2004. "Terrorism and International Trade: An Empirical Investigation." *European Journal of Political Economy* 20(2): 423-433.
9. O'Brien, Sean P. 1996. "Foreign Policy Crises and the Resort to Terrorism: A Time-Series Analysis of Conflict Linkages." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 40 (2): 320-335.
10. Sandler, Todd and Walter Enders. 2004. "An Economic Perspective on Transnational Terrorism." *European Journal of Political Economy* 20 (2): 301-316.
11. Sandler, Todd, John T. Tschirhart, and Jon Cauley. 1983. "A Theoretical Analysis of Transnational Terrorism." *American Political Science Review* 77 (1): 36-54.

Civil-Military Relations

1. Betts, Richard K. 1977. *Soldiers, Statesmen, and Cold War Crises*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
2. Desch, Michael C. 1999. *Civilian Control of the Military: The Changing Security Environment*. Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press.
3. Feaver, Peter D. and Christopher Gelpi. 2004. *Choosing Your Battles: American Civil-Military Relations and the Use of Force*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
4. Gelpi, Christopher and Peter D. Feaver. 2002. "Speak Softly and Carry a Big Stick? Veterans in the Political Elite and the American Use of Force." *American Political Science Review* 96 (4): 779-793.
5. Holsti, Ole. 1996. *Public Opinion and American Foreign Policy*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
6. Holsti, Ole. 1998-1999. "A Widening Gap between the U.S. Military and Civilian Society?: Some Evidence, 1976-96." *International Security*. 23 (3): 5-42.
7. Ross, Thomas W. 1994. "Raising an Army: A Positive Theory of Military Recruitment." *Journal of Law and Economics* 37 (1): 109-131.
8. Sechser, Todd S. 2004. "Are Soldiers Less War-Prone Than Statesmen." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48 (5): 746-774.
9. Seung-Whan Choi and Patrick James. April 2005. *Civil-Military Dynamics, Democracy, and International Conflict: A New Quest for International Peace*. New York: Palgrave.
10. White, Michael D. 1989. "Conscription and the Size of Armed Forces." *Social Science Quarterly* 70 (3): 772-781.

Also not covered:

War Outcomes and Endings, Reconstruction, Systems, Empires, Conflict Resolution, Zones of Peace, Long Cycles